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Abstract: There are no much sex differences in the distribution of challenges faced by commuters using Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) as observed from this survey. Internal consistencies tests showed that the responses are randomized. Price charged, non-availability of buses and security in the buses are paramount challenges faced by the commuters. The moderation results showed that the commuters’ satisfaction in patronizing the BRT are mostly affected by security of the buses, reduced commuting time, attitude of the staffs, the attitude of the staff as seen in the behavior of the drivers, the prices charged as it affect the income of the commuters and the present available routes. Adequate security at the buses and bus stops, availability of more buses, downward review of the prices charged and increase in the available routes are some recommendations that can help to address the challenges faced by commuters using BRT especially as a means of conveying them to their workplaces.
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INTRODUCTION

Lagos State, Nigeria, being one of the fastest growing cities in the world is faced by numerous challenges of which efficient and adequate transportation is one of them. Census 2006 showed that Lagos has an estimated population of 17 million but most of the total population is clustered around a relatively small geographical space (Bmimoh and Onish, 2007) thereby causing a great strain on the existing public infrastructure (Odufuwa, 2010).

Mobereola (2009) in his SSAIT discussion paper presentation stated that in order to address some of the problems of public transportation in Lagos, the state government on 17th March, 2008, introduced Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) which according to the paper presenter was to provide Lagos and environs, a more convenient and reliable means of commuting around the city. The introduction of BRT was widely accepted by the commuters and had helped to address the menace of uncoordinated and uncontrolled public transport business in the state. BRT runs a dedicated routes and at a faster rate.

Globally numerous researchers have researched on challenges faced by commuters in the public transport and some of the literature also applied on the BRT and the Nigerian context. Some of the general challenges faced by commuters in Nigeria were highlighted by Ali (2010), Basorun and Rotowa (2012) and Osuji and Onyenechere (2013) but the scope of their study was limited in geographical size while Aidoo et al. (2013) limited their study to safety and on Kumasi-Accra route. Sharaby and Shifan (2012) looked at the relationship between fare integration and the commuters travel behaviour. Also, it is very important to have a clearly defined service contracts in public transports (Marcucci and Gatta, 2007). Generally, mass transit for example BRT management also requires adequate land development policy (Deng and Nelson, 2010). Ensuring quality service delivery is very essential in effective management of public transport (Ebody and Mazzula, 2007; Awasthi et al., 2011; McDonnell and Zellner, 2011).

Congestion and excessive pressure on the Nigerian roads were identified earlier to be the main problems of road transportation in Nigeria (Adedumila, 1981; Adenle, 1977; Olayemi, 1977; Ogunmola, 1984; Aderamo, 1998). Bad roads can also be a barrier to efficient public transport (Basorun, 2005; Ogunbode, 2008; Aderamo, 2010). The effects of bad roads, overcrowding and dearth of investments on the infrastructure had contributed to a disjointed public transport in Nigeria (Wright, 1994; Ogwude, 2011). Aderamo (2012) attributed challenges faced on public transport on mainly poor urban planning. Many socio-economic variables can determine commuters satisfaction in using BRT in Lagos (Adebambo and Adebayo, 2009; Odufuwa et al., 2012) while high transport fare can discourage commuters from using BRT (Ugo, 2014).
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research objectives are as follows:

- Examine sex differences in the response to the questions in the questionnaires
- Examine the purposes differences in the response to the questionnaires
- Highlighting the key issues raised by the respondents that need attention
- Checking the internal consistencies of the responses
- Using correspondence analysis to determine the randomness of the responses
- Using multiple linear regression to determine how the challenges raised by the respondents are related
- Using moderated multiple regression to determine how the challenges raised by the respondents are related

A simple random sampling was adopted and used to collect the data from a short self-rated questionnaire. The small size of questions was necessary to solicit responses from commuters within a relatively short time intervals. The questionnaires were distributed randomly irrespective of the sex (gender) of the respondents and also irrespective of the purpose. Only children were excluded from the survey. The survey was carried out from Monday to Friday during rush and normal hours. No extra explanations were given to the questions to avoid the introduction of biases. About 1853 responded and exactly 1800 was used for the final analysis. About 53 was excluded because of incomplete responses or missing values. The sample size is adequate especially when the target population is homogenous; in this case, the commuters or passengers views may not necessary be divergent. The questionnaire contains 17 questions with five choices of responses which are: Strongly Agree (SA), Agree (A), Not Sure (NS), Disagree (D) and Strongly Disagree (SD). The questions and the statement codes are shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Codes</th>
<th>Questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>The prices charged are affordable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>The BRT is always overcrowded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>The tickets are always readily available and accessible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>BRT is always available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5</td>
<td>The roads are in good condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6</td>
<td>Staff respond well to complaints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q7</td>
<td>BRT buses have helped reduce commuting time and stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q8</td>
<td>The buses are secure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q9</td>
<td>Regular use of BRT has little significant effect on income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q10</td>
<td>The buses are neat and quality of seats is good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q11</td>
<td>Security is adequate at the bus stops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q12</td>
<td>BRT has helped to reduce traffic congestion in the city</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q13</td>
<td>The current routes ples by BRT are adequate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q14</td>
<td>The drivers are disciplined and well behaved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q15</td>
<td>BRT offers more value for money than others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q16</td>
<td>Drivers drive moderately and obey traffic regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q17</td>
<td>I always enjoyed using BRT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Cross tabulation: About 934 (51.9%) of the respondents were male and 866 (48.1%) were female. The 1239 (68.89%) of the respondents believed that their purpose of using BRT is to convey them to their respective workplaces while 423 (23.5%) use BRT for business, appointments or to convey goods. However, only 138 (7.7%) have other reasons of using BRT. Those reasons can be school, markets, visiting friends, running errands, etc.

In summary, the responses from both sexes are almost the same with respect to the questions with slight variations. This is also the same case for the purpose of using BRT.

Reliability analysis: The rating parameter used was a Likert five-point scale and the assigned values are as follows strongly agree = 1, agree = 2, not sure = 3, disagree = 4 and strongly disagree = 5. With the cut-off point as 3, from Table 2, it can be seen that those questions that are below the mean of 3 are areas that indicates commuter satisfaction and less challenging. However, those questions that is above the cut-off point of 3 calls for concern and attention as it indicates commuter dissatisfaction. The Cronbach’s alpha is 0.709 which is a measure of internal consistencies which is desirable as it is closer to 1 and hence, a test of reliability of dichotomously or polytomously scored items in the item response theory (Almehriri, 2013).

Guttman Lambda scale of 0.667, 0.737, 0.709, 0.791, 0.712, 0.777 also indicates an acceptable split-half reliability. The Guttman scale indicate the level and sensitivity of the interval consistencies of the items in the scale (Van Schuuer, 2003).

Correspondence analysis: This statistical method can be used to explore the randomness of the response patterns of the respondents in dimensions.

From Table 3, the correspondence analysis was able to account for only about 36% of the total variance but the high Chi-square value showed that the model is highly significant while dimension one accounts to 73.7% of the variance and dimension two accounts to 13.2 of the variance. The results from the correspondence the exploratory and it showed results similar to cross tabulation.

Correlation and statistical significance: It is known that a result is said to be statistically significant if it can lead to the rejection of the null hypothesis and the smaller the p-value, the larger the significance. However, the null hypothesis is accepted when p-value is greater than the
significance level of the test. For this research, the significance level is 0.05. Irrespective of the correlation coefficients of the pairs of questions (Q1-Q17), 83 pairs of questions are statistically significant while 53 pairs of the questions are statistically insignificant, correlation notwithstanding. The 53 pairs of the statistically insignificant questions are listed as follows: (1, 2), (1, 7), (1, 8), (1, 10), (1, 12), (1, 14), (1, 15), (1, 16), (1, 17), (2, 4), (2, 7), (2, 9), (2, 11), (2, 13), (3, 7), (3, 9), (3, 11), (4, 5), (4, 6), (4, 7), (4, 8), (4, 10), (4, 12), (4, 14), (4, 15), (4, 16), (4, 17), (5, 9), (5, 11), (5, 13), (7, 9), (7, 13), (8, 9), (8, 11), (8, 13), (9, 10), (9, 12), (9, 14), (9, 15), (9, 16), (9, 17), (10, 11), (11, 12), (11, 14), (11, 15), (11, 16), (11, 17), (12, 13), (13, 14), (13, 15), (13, 16), (13, 17).

For example (1, 2) can be interpreted as the price charged is independent of the overcrowded state of the bus and so on.

The pairs are mutually exclusive and collectively exhaustive. Some of the pairs of questions that are statistically significant are (1, 3), (1, 4), (1, 5), (1, 6), (1, 9), etc. For example (1, 3) can be interpreted as the price charged is dependent on the availability of buses.

Multiple regression: The regression analysis revealed how the overall commuters’ satisfaction (Q17) is dependent on the challenges (Q1-16). The results are summarized as follows: the regression was able to account for about 62% of the variance and there is a relationship or association between the dependent variable and independent variables (Table 4 and 5).

**Moderated multiple regression:** Sometimes, the independent variables may depend on each other resulting to variable interactions and the dependent variable can be predicted by both the independent variables and the interactions (Irwin and McClelland, 2001).

In these research questions (Q9-16) are moderating variables for questions (Q1-Q8). Table 6 and 7 shows the
Table 6: Independent variables and their corresponding moderating variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent variables</th>
<th>Moderating variables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>Q9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>Q10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>Q11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>Q12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5</td>
<td>Q13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6</td>
<td>Q14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q7</td>
<td>Q15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q8</td>
<td>Q16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: The coefficients of the variables and interactions for the Moderated Regression Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables Coefficient</th>
<th>Variables Coefficient</th>
<th>Variables Coefficient</th>
<th>Variables Coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1 0.000</td>
<td>Q10 -0.054</td>
<td>Q3Q11 0.047</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2 0.016</td>
<td>Q11 -0.055</td>
<td>Q4Q12 -0.061</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3 0.015</td>
<td>Q12 0.074</td>
<td>Q5Q13 -0.086</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4 0.024</td>
<td>Q13 0.098</td>
<td>Q6Q14 0.149</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5 -0.006</td>
<td>Q14 -0.018</td>
<td>Q7Q15 -0.033</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6 0.177</td>
<td>Q15 0.004</td>
<td>Q8Q16 0.007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q7 0.197</td>
<td>Q16 0.007</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q8 0.605</td>
<td>Q19 0.132</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q9 -0.140</td>
<td>Q2Q10 0.054</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Constant: 0.253

independent variables and their corresponding moderating variables: there are no much sex differences in the distribution of challenges faced by commuters on BRT buses in Lagos (Fig. 1).

Analysis of the data showed that most commuters patronize BRT for conveying them to their workplaces. Also there are no much purpose differences in the distribution of challenges faced by commuters using BRT (Fig. 2).

The commuters are most challenged by the unaffordability of prices charged, non-availability of buses, security in the buses, the effect of prices charged on their income, low quality of the seats, the insecurity at the bus stops and they are frustrated with the limited routes covered by the BRT.

The measure of the internal consistencies and its sensitivity are desirable. There is an appreciable level of randomness of the questions and the responses as revealed by the correspondence analysis (Fig. 3).

From the results of multiple regression analysis, the effect on the convenience and comfort ability of using BRT are ranked from the highest to the least: the security in the buses, reduction of commuting time, attitude of staff towards commuters, the behaviour of the drivers, the availability of buses, the compactness and overcrowding of buses, reduction of traffic congestion, the drivers' compliance to traffic regulations, the available routes, the availability of tickets, the conditions of the roads, commuters perceptions on the prices charged, the effect of prices charged on income of commuters, the quality of seats, the security at the bus stops and value for money. That is security of buses is paramount challenge faced by

Fig. 1: Sex of respondents

Fig. 2: Purpose of using BRT

Fig. 3: The correspondence dimension plot
commuters while they were least concerned about comparing the service rendered by BRT to other public transport in the city.

The results from moderations showed that the effect on the convenience and comfort ability of using BRT are ranked from the highest to the least including the interactions:

- Security of the buses
- Reduction of commuting time
- Attitude of staff towards commuters
- The attitude of staff and the behaviour of the drivers
- The prices charged and its impact on the commuters’ incomes
- The present available routes
- Reduction of traffic congestion
- State of overcrowding and the quality of the seats
- The availability of the tickets and the security at the bus stops
- The availability of buses
- The state of overcrowding
- The availability of the tickets
- The drivers’ compliance to traffic regulations
- The security of the buses and the drivers’ compliance to traffic regulations
- Comparison with other public mode of transport
- The price charged
- The conditions of the roads
- The behaviour of the drivers
- Reduction of commuting time and comparison with other public mode of transport
- Quality of the seats
- The security at the bus stops
- The availability of buses and the reduction of traffic congestion
- The condition of the roads and the available routes
- The impact of price charged on the commuters’ income

The interactions of the moderation showed that the commuters believed that the attitude of the staff as a result of the behaviour of the drivers has the highest in the convenience and comfort in using the BRT by the commuters. Also, the affordability of the prices charged and its impact on the commuters income is also a concern to the commuters while they are least concern about the conditions of the roads as it affects the available routes meaning that despite that the available routes is inadequate but as long as the roads are in good conditions, the commuters are not concerned. Also, more BRT is needed to reduce the congestion on the roads.

CONCLUSION

Attention has to be paid on those challenges faced by commuters. The BRT have been helpful despite the challenges. Security and expansion of the available routes and the BRT staff have to be trained on effective customer relations. More buses have to be available to reduce the commuting time while efforts have to be made in making the buses more comfortable.
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