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ABSTRACT
Four steps implicit scheme for the solution of second order ordinary differential equation was derived through interpolation and collocation method. Newton polynomial approximation method was used to generate the unknown parameters in the corrector. The method was tested with numerical examples and it was found to be efficient in solving second order ordinary differential equations.

INTRODUCTION
The second order initial value ordinary differential equation of the form
\[ y'' = f(x, y, y') \quad y(a) = \eta_0, y'(a) = \eta_1 \]
is considered in this paper. Differential equation is often used to model scientific and technological problems which most times, these equations do not have analytic solution, hence an approximate numerical method is required to solve these problems. Block methods for numerical solution of ordinary differential equations have been proposed by several researchers such as Milne (1953), Shampine and Watt (1969), Worland (1976) and Omar (1999). Rosser (1967) introduced the 3 point implicit block method based on integration formulae which is basically Newton's cote type. Zanariah et al. (2006) proposed 3 points implicit block method based on Newton's backward divided difference formula. Adesanya et al. (2009) proposed a two step method for the general solution of second order which is self stating and adopted Newton's polynomial to generate the starting value. Awoyemi et al. (2009) recently proposed a self starting Numerov's method. This method solves both initial and boundary value problems of ordinary differential equation. Yahaya (2007) constructed a Numerov method from a quadratic continuous polynomial solution. This process led to method which is applied to both initial and boundary value problems.

In this research work, we propose a block method for step length of four. This method adopts Newton's polynomial approximation to generate the starting value and solves general second order ordinary differential equa-
We consider an approximate solution to (1) in power series

\[ y(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} a_j \phi_j(x) \quad (2.2) \]

where \( \phi_j = \phi^j, a_j, j = 0(1)2k - 1 \) are constants to be determined. We consider a linear multistep method of the form

\[
\begin{align*}
\phi_r(x) &= \sum_{i=0}^{r+m-1} \phi_{i+1,r} p_i(x), & r &= 0, 1, 2, \ldots, m - 1 \\
\varphi(x) &= \sum_{i=0}^{r+m-1} \varphi_{i+1,r} (x) p_i(x), & r &= 0, 1, 2, \ldots, m - 1 \\
y(x_{n+r}) &= y_{n+r}, & r &\in [0, 1, 2, \ldots, t - 1] \\
y^{(r)}(x) &= f_{n+r}, & r &\in 0, 1, m - 1
\end{align*}
\]

To get \( \phi_j(x) \) and \( \varphi_j(x) \), according to Yahaya (2007), he derived a matrix of the form

\[ DC = I \]

where: \( I \) is an identity matrix of dimension \((t + m) \times (t + m)\).

\[
D = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & x_n & x_n^2 & \ldots & x_n^{r-1} \\
1 & x_{n+1} & x_{n+1}^2 & \ldots & x_{n+1}^{r-1} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
1 & x_{n+m-1} & x_{n+m-1}^2 & \ldots & x_{n+m-1}^{r-1} \\
0 & 0 & 2 & \ldots & \ldots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 2 & \ldots & (t + m - 1)(t + m - 2)x_{m-2}^{r-1}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[ (t + m)(t + m - 1)x_{m-2}^{r-2} \]
Development value for the unknown

Theorem (3.0):

Assuming that \( f \in C^{n+1}[a,b] \) and \( x_k \in [a,b] \) for \( k = 0, 1, n \) are distinct values, then

\[ f(x) = y(x) + R_n(x) \]

where \( y(x) \) is a polynomial that can be used to approximate \( f(x) \)

For Newton's polynomial

\[ y(x) = a_0 + a_1(x-x_0) + a_2(x-x_0)(x-x_1) + \ldots + a_n(x-x_0)(x-x_1)\ldots(x-x_{n-1}) \]  

(8)

\[ f(x) \approx y(x), \quad R_n(x) \]

is the remainder and has the form

\[ R_n(x) = \frac{f^{(n+1)}}{(n+1)!}(x-x_0)(x-x_1)\ldots(x-x_{n-1})(x-x_n) \]  

(9)

(Awoyemi et al., 2009)

Development of four steps method

In developing the method with step length \( k = 4 \), we consider

\[
D = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & x_{n+2} & x_{n+2}^2 & x_{n+2}^3 & x_{n+2}^4 & x_{n+2}^5 & x_{n+2}^6 \\
1 & x_{n+3} & x_{n+3}^2 & x_{n+3}^3 & x_{n+3}^4 & x_{n+3}^5 & x_{n+3}^6 \\
0 & 0 & 2 & 6x_n & 12x_n^2 & 20x_n^3 & 30x_n^4 \\
0 & 0 & 2 & 6x_{n+1} & 12x_{n+1}^2 & 20x_{n+1}^3 & 30x_{n+1}^4 \\
0 & 0 & 2 & 6x_{n+2} & 12x_{n+2}^2 & 20x_{n+2}^3 & 30x_{n+2}^4 \\
0 & 0 & 2 & 6x_{n+3} & 12x_{n+3}^2 & 20x_{n+3}^3 & 30x_{n+3}^4 \\
0 & 0 & 2 & 6x_{n+4} & 12x_{n+4}^2 & 20x_{n+4}^3 & 30x_{n+4}^4
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(10)
This gives a continuous scheme

\[ \alpha_2 = -t \]
\[ \alpha_3 = (t + 1) \]
\[ \beta_0(t) = \frac{h^2}{1440} \left( 2t^6 + 6t^5 - 5t^4 - 20t^3 + 11t \right) \]
\[ \beta_1(t) = \frac{h^2}{360} \left( -2t^6 - 9t^5 + 5t^4 + 30t^3 - 18t \right) \]
\[ \beta_2(t) = \frac{h^2}{240} \left( 2t^6 + 12t^5 + 5t^4 - 60t^3 + 55t \right) \]
\[ \beta_3(t) = \frac{h^2}{360} \left( -2t^6 - 15t^5 - 25t^4 + 50t^3 + 180t^2 + 118t \right) \]
\[ \beta_4(t) = \frac{h^2}{1440} \left( 2t^6 + 18t^5 + 55t^4 + 60t^3 - 21t \right) \]

\[ t = \frac{x - x_{n+3}}{h} \]

where:

Evaluating (11) at \( x = x_{n+4} \) i.e. when \( t = 1, -2, -3 \), gives

\[ 240 y_{n+4} - 480 y_{n+3} + 240 y_{n+2} = h^2 \left( 19 f_{n+4} + 204 f_{n+3} + 14 f_{n+2} + 4 f_{n+1} - f_n \right) \]

\[ 240 y_{n+3} - 480 y_{n+2} + 240 y_{n+1} = h^2 \left( -f_{n+4} + 24 f_{n+3} + 194 f_{n+2} + 24 f_{n+1} - f_n \right) \]

\[ 480 y_{n+3} - 720 y_{n+2} + 240 y_{n+1} = h^2 \left( -3 f_{n+4} + 52 f_{n+3} + 402 f_{n+2} + 252 f_{n+1} + 17 f_n \right) \]

Evaluating the first derivative of (4.1) at \( t = -3 \), gives

\[ 1440h y_{n+4} - 1440 y_{n+3} + 1440 y_{n+2} = h^2 \left( 33 f_{n+4} - 284 f_{n+3} - 966 f_{n+2} - 1908 f_{n+1} - 475 f_n \right) \]
Solving (12)-(16) using block method gives

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & y_{n+1} \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & y_{n+2} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & y_{n+3} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & y_{n+4}
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & y_{n-1} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & y_{n-2} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & y_{n-3} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & y_{n}
\end{bmatrix} = h^2 \begin{bmatrix}
3 & -47 & 29 & -7 & f_{n+1} \\
8 & 240 & 360 & 480 & f_{n+2} \\
8 & -1 & 8 & -1 & f_{n+3} \\
5 & 3 & 45 & 30 & f_{n+4}
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
367 \\
1440 \\
53 \\
90 \\
147 \\
160 \\
869 \\
720
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Hence, from (16)

\[
y_{n+1} = y_n + \frac{h^2}{1440} \left( 540f_{n+1} + 282f_{n+2} + 116f_{n+3} - 21f_{n+4} + 367f_n \right) + hy_n'
\]

(17)

\[
y_{n+2} = y_n + \frac{h^2}{90} \left( 144f_{n+1} - 30f_{n+2} + 16f_{n+3} + 3f_{n+4} + 53f_n \right) + 2hy_n'
\]

(18)

\[
y_{n+3} = y_n + \frac{h^2}{160} \left( 468f_{n+1} + 54f_{n+2} + 60f_{n+3} - 9f_{n+4} + 147f_n \right) + 3hy_n'
\]

(19)

\[
y_{n+4} = y_n + \frac{h^2}{45} \left( 192f_{n+1} + 48f_{n+2} + 64f_{n+3} + 56f_n \right) + 4hy_n'
\]

(20)
Developing the unknown for \( k=4 \)

We evaluate the first derivative of (8), and neglecting \( a^6 \) and higher values.

\[
60h \dot{y}_n = 12y_{n+5} - 75y_{n+4} + 120y_{n+3} - 220y_{n+2} + 300y_{n+1} - 137y_n \tag{21}
\]

\[
60h \dot{y}_{n+1} = -3y_{n+5} + 20y_{n+4} - 60y_{n+3} + 120y_{n+2} - 65y_{n+1} - 12y_n \tag{22}
\]

\[
60h \dot{y}_{n+2} = 2y_{n+5} - 15y_{n+4} + 60y_{n+3} - 20y_{n+2} + 30y_{n+1} + 3y_n \tag{23}
\]

\[
60h \dot{y}_{n+3} = -3y_{n+5} + 30y_{n+4} - 60y_{n+3} + 20y_{n+2} + 15y_{n+1} - 2y_n \tag{24}
\]

Solving (24)-(28) for \( \frac{y_{n+i}}{a^i} \), \( i = 1(1)4 \) gives,

\[
y_{n+1} = y_n + \frac{h}{6348} (5386y_{n+1} - 420y_{n+2} + 2382y_{n+3} - 247y_{n+4} + 2259y_n) \tag{25}
\]

\[
y_{n+2} = y_n + \frac{h}{529} (705y_{n+1} + 288y_{n+2} + 135y_{n+3} - 12y_{n+4} + 174y_n) \tag{26}
\]

\[
y_{n+3} = y_n + \frac{h}{2116} (2598y_{n+1} - 2520y_{n+2} + 1578y_{n+3} - 105y_{n+4} + 729y_n) \tag{27}
\]

\[
y_{n+4} = y_n + \frac{h}{1587} (2188y_{n+1} + 1272y_{n+2} + 2580y_{n+3} + 476y_{n+4} + 504y_n) \tag{28}
\]

Substituting for \( y_{n+2} \) in (15) and substituting in (16)-(19) and solving for \( f_{n+i} \), \( i = 1(1)4 \) gives,

\[
f_{n+1} = -\frac{1}{4} f_n + \frac{1}{h} \left( \frac{1}{6} y_{n+1} + \frac{3}{4} y_{n+2} - \frac{1}{6} y_{n+3} + \frac{1}{48} y_{n+4} - \frac{37}{48} y_n \right) \tag{29}
\]

\[
f_{n+2} = \frac{1}{6} f_n + \frac{1}{h} \left( -\frac{4}{3} y_{n+1} + \frac{1}{2} y_{n+2} + \frac{4}{9} y_{n+3} - \frac{1}{24} y_{n+4} + \frac{31}{72} y_n \right) \tag{30}
\]
Substituting (25)-(28) into the second derivative of (8) and comparing with (29)-(32) give

\[ y'_{n+1} = y'_n - 0.222193hf_n \]  
\[ y'_{n+2} = y'_n + 0.111768hf_n \]  
\[ y'_{n+3} = y'_n + 1.188485hf_n \]  
\[ y'_{n+4} = y'_n + 1.311393hf_n \]

**Analysis of the scheme**

**Convergence**

The convergence analysis of this scheme is determined using the approach of Fatunla (1992), where each block integrator is represented as a single step block r-point multistep method of the form

\[
A^0Y_m = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} A^{(i)} Y_{m-i} + B^i F_{m-i}
\]

where: \(A^{(i)}, B^{(i)} \leq 0(1)\) are r by r matrix respectively with element \(a^i_{ij}, b^i_{ij} \) for \(i, j = 1(1)r\); specifically, \(A^0\) is an \(r \times r\) identity matrix,

\(Y_m, Y_{m-1}, F_m\ \text{and} \ F_{m-1}\) are vectors of numerical estimate describe below. With \(r\)-vector

\(Y_m\ \text{and} \ F_m\) (For \(n = mr, m = 0, 1, \ldots\))
Analysis of the block

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{pmatrix} = 0
\]

\[
\det \begin{pmatrix}
\lambda & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & \lambda & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & \lambda & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda - 1
\end{pmatrix} = 0
\]

\[
\lambda^3 (\lambda - 1) = 0
\]

\[
\lambda = 0, 0, 1
\]

Hence the block is zero stable.

Numerical example
We test the efficiency of our scheme on linear and non linear second order differential equation.

Problem 1:
\[
y'' - x(y')^2 = 0
\]
\[
y(0) = 1, \ y'(0) = \frac{1}{2}, \ h = 0.1 / 40
\]

Exact solution
\[
y(x) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{2 + x}{2 - x} \right)
\]
### Problem 11

\[ y'' = y + xe^{3x} \]

\[
y(0) = -\frac{3}{32}, \quad y'(0) = -\frac{5}{32}, \quad h = 0.1 / 40
\]

**Exact solution**

\[
y(x) = \frac{4x - 3}{32 \exp(-3x)}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>Expected result ( y(x) )</th>
<th>New method ( y_n(x) )</th>
<th>Error ( y(x) - y_n(x) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.094140915761848</td>
<td>-0.0941409131568</td>
<td>2.61D-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.094532404142338</td>
<td>-0.0945324074753</td>
<td>3.33D-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.094924451608388</td>
<td>-0.0949244224215</td>
<td>2.92D-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.095317044390700</td>
<td>-0.0953170247449</td>
<td>2.02D-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-0.095710168480980</td>
<td>-0.0957101793552</td>
<td>1.08D-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-0.096103809629113</td>
<td>-0.0961039982252</td>
<td>1.88D-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-0.09649533403163</td>
<td>0.0964952920353</td>
<td>4.23D-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-0.096892584872264</td>
<td>-0.0968923659413</td>
<td>2.18D-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-0.097289689232184</td>
<td>-0.0972874625827</td>
<td>2.22D-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-0.097683251173919</td>
<td>-0.0976830958236</td>
<td>1.55D-07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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